UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED	
SANJAY TRIPATHY, Plaintiff, v.	DOC #:	
FUEZ, J. FEID, LUIS GONZALEZ, JOHN WOOD, EDWARD BURNETT, JEFF MCKOY, TINA M. STANFORD, MICHELE HARRINGTON, and ANDREW M. CUOMO, Defendants.	21 CV 5349 (VB) Copies Mailed/Faxed 7/2 1/2 (Chambers of Vincent L. Briccetti	<u></u>

The Court is in receipt of two letters from plaintiff, dated July 19 and July 20, 2021. The letters will be separately docketed.

In the July 19 letter, plaintiff requests that the Court "issue an Order to protect him from Retaliation, Harassment, Intimidation, Abuse, Injury and loss of benefits by the Defendants, and others instigated by the Defendants, due to [plaintiff's] viable lawsuits." The request is **DENIED**. Having reviewed the conclusory and self-serving allegations in plaintiff's July 19 letter, the Court finds that plaintiff has failed to establish a basis for any of the relief requested therein.

In the July 20 letter, plaintiff again requests that that he be allowed to rely on the U.S. Marshals Service to effect service on defendants, a request the Court has previously denied. As the Court explained, plaintiff is not proceeding in forma pauperis and is therefore not entitled to rely on the Court and the U.S. Marshals Service to effect service. It is plaintiff's responsibility to serve the summons and complaint on defendants within 90 days of the issuance of the summons. Nothing in plaintiff's letter provides a basis for reconsideration of the Court's previous order. Accordingly, plaintiff's request is again **DENIED**.

In addition, plaintiff improperly addressed this mailing to Judge Briccetti's Chambers. Plaintiff is instructed to address all future correspondence to the <u>Pro Se</u> Intake Unit at the following address:

United States District Court Pro Se Intake Unit 300 Quarropas St.
White Plains, NY 10601

The Court may disregard any future submissions sent directly to Chambers.

Plaintiff is reminded to notify the Court in writing if his address changes, and that the Court may dismiss the action if plaintiff fails to do so.

The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purposes of an appeal. Cf. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444–45 (1962).

Chambers will mail a copy of this Order to plaintiff at the address on the docket.

Dated: July 27, 2021

White Plains, NY

SO ORDERED:

Vincent L. Briccetti

United States District Judge